

ISSN:2181-0427 ISSN:2181-1458

**ЎЗБЕКИСТОН РЕСПУБЛИКАСИ
ОЛИЙ ВА ЎРТА МАХСУС
ТАЪЛИМ ВАЗИРЛИГИ**

**НАМАНГАН ДАВЛАТ УНИВЕРСИТЕТИ
ИЛМИЙ АХБОРОТНОМАСИ**

**НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК НАМАНГАНСКОГО
ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА**



2021 йил махсус сон



Бош муҳаррир: Наманган давлат университети ректори С.Т.Тургунов

Масъул муҳаррир: Илмий ишлар ва инновациялар бўйича проректор М.Р.Қодирхонов

Масъул муҳаррир ўринбосари: Илмий тадқиқот ва илмий педагогик кадрлар тайёрлаш бўлими бошлиғи Р.Жалалов

ТАҲРИРҲАЙЪАТИ

Физика-математика фанлари: акад. С.Зайнобиддинов, акад. А.Аъзамов, ф-м.ф.д., доц. М.Тўхтасинов, ф-м.ф.д., проф. Б.Саматов, ф-м.ф.д., доц. Р.Хакимов, ф-м.ф.д. М.Рахматуллаев.

Кимё фанлари: акад.С.Рашидова, акад. А.Тўраев, акад. С.Нигматов, к.ф.д., проф.Ш.Абдуллаев, к.ф.д., проф. Т.Азизов.

Биология фанлари: акад. К.Тожибаев, акад. Р.Собиров, б.ф.д. доц.А.Баташов, б.ф.д. Н.Абдурахмонов.

Техника фанлари: - т.ф.д., проф. А.Умаров, т.ф.д., проф. С.Юнусов.

Қишлоқ хўжалиги фанлари: – г.ф.д., доц. Б.Камалов, қ-х.ф.н., доц. А.Қазақов.

Тарих фанлари: – акад. А.Асқаров, с.ф.д., проф. Т.Файзуллаев, тар.ф.д, проф. А.Расулов, тар.ф.д., проф. У.Абдуллаев.

Иқтисодиёт фанлари: – и.ф.д., проф.Н.Махмудов, и.ф.д., проф.О.Одилов.

Фалсафа фанлари: –ф.ф.д., проф. М.Исмоилов, ф.ф.н., О.Маматов, PhD Р.Замилова.

Филология фанлари: – акад. Н.Каримов, фил.ф.д., проф.С.Аширбоев, фил.ф.д., проф. Н.Улуқов, фил.ф.д., проф. Ҳ.Усманова. фил.ф.д.,проф. Б.Тухлиев, фил.ф.н, доц.М. Сулаймонов.

География фанлари: - г.ф.д., доц. Б.Камалов, г.ф.д доц К.Боймирзаев

Педагогика фанлари: - п.ф.д., проф. У.Иноятгов, п.ф.д., проф. Б.Ходжаев, п.ф.д., п.ф.д., проф. Н.Эркабоева, п.ф.д., проф.Ш.Хонкелдиев, п.ф.д., проф Ў.Асқарова, п.ф.н., доц. М.Нишонов, PhD П.Лутфуллаев.

Тиббиёт фанлари: – б.ф.д. Ғ.Абдуллаев, тиб.ф.н., доц. С.Болтабоев.

Психология фанлари – п.ф.д.,проф З.Нишанова, п.ф.н., доц. М.Махсудова

Техник муҳаррир: [Н.Юсупов](#)

Таҳририят манзили: Наманган шаҳри, Уйчи кўчаси, 316-уй.

Тел: (0369)227-01-44, 227-06-12 **Факс:** (0369)227-07-61 **e-mail:** ilmiy@inbox.uz

Ушбу журнал 2019 йилдан бошлаб Ўзбекистон Республикаси Олий аттестация комиссияси Раёсати қарори билан физика-математика, кимё, биология, фалсафа, филология ва педагогика фанлари бўйича Олий аттестация комиссиясининг диссертациялар асосий илмий натижаларини чоп этиш тавсия этилган илмий нашрлар рўйхатида киритилган.

“НамДУ илмий ахборотномаси–Научный вестник НамГУ” журнали Ўзбекистон Матбуот ва ахборот агентлигининг 17.05.2016 йилдаги 08-0075 рақамли гувоҳномаси ҳамда Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти Администрацияси ҳузуридаги Ахборот ва оммавий коммуникациялар агентлиги (АОКА) томонидан 2020 йил 29 август куни 1106-сонли гувоҳнома га биноан чоп этилади. “НамДУ Илмий Ахборотномаси” электрон нашр сифатида ҳалқаро стандарт туркум рақами (ISSN-2181-1458)га эга НамДУ Илмий-техникавий Кенгашининг 27.10.2021 йилдаги кенгайтирилган йиғилишида муҳокама қилиниб, илмий тўплам сифатида чоп этишга рухсат этилган (**Баённома № 13**). Мақолаларнинг илмий савияси ва келтирилган маълумотлар учун муаллифлар жавобгар ҳисобланади.



6. Sarimsaqov B. "O'zbek folklori janrlari sostavi."//O'zbek folklori ocherklari. 3 tom.T.:

MADANIY NUTQDA PROPOZITIV NOMINATSIYA VA DENOTAT TUZULISHI

Usmonova Xurinisho Sharapovna
Namangan davlat universiteti,
O'zbek tili va adabiyoti kafedrası
Professor, filologiya fanlari doktori

Annotatsiya: *Propozitsiya gapdan, avvalo, mundarijasi nuqtayi nazaridan farq qiladi. Chunki gap shakl va mazmun munosabatidan tashkil topgan butunlik sifatida e'tirof etilsa, propozitiv tuzilish uning faqat mazmuniy tuzilishining bir tomoniga tegishli sanaladi. Shu bilan birga, propozitsiya ma'lum voqea-hodisani o'zaro mantiqiy teng bo'lgan predmetlar munosabatini ifodalashi bilan ham gapdan farq qiladi.*

Kalit so'zlar: *Propozitsiya, til kodlari, nutqiy jarayon, inson, ong, nominatsiya, denotat, nutqiy faoliyat, sintaktik qurilma, relyatsion predikat, valentlik, sintaktik uzolar, an'anaviy tilshunoslik, mazmuniy sintaksis, til va tafakkur.*

ПРЕДЛАГАЕМЫЕ НОМИНАЦИИ И СТРУКТУРА ОБОЗНАЧЕНИЯ В КУЛЬТУРНОЙ РЕЧИ

Усмонова Хуринисо Шараповна
Наманганский государственный университет,
Кафедра узбекского языка и литературы
Профессор, доктор филологических наук

Аннотация: *Предложение отличается от предложения, во-первых, по своему содержанию, поскольку, если предложение распознается как единое целое, состоящее из отношений формы и содержания, предполагается, что пропозициональная структура принадлежит только одной стороне его семантической структуры. В то же время суждение отличается от речи тем, что оно выражает отношение определенного события к объектам, которые логически равны друг другу.*

Ключевые слова: *предложение, языковые коды, речевой процесс, человек, разум, номинация, обозначение, речевая деятельность, синтаксический аппарат, реляционный предикат, валентность, синтаксические члены, традиционная лингвистика, семантический синтаксис, язык и мышление.*

PROPOSITIVE NOMINATION AND DENOTATION STRUCTURE IN CULTURAL SPEECH

Usmonova Hurinisho Sharapovna
Namangan State University,
Department of Uzbek language and literature
Professor, doctor of philological sciences

Abstract: *The proposition differs from the sentence, first, in terms of its content. Because if a sentence is recognized as a whole consisting of a relationship of form and content, the propositive*



structure is considered to belong only to one side of its semantic structure. At the same time, proposition differs from speech in that it expresses the relation of a certain event to objects that are logically equal to each other.

Keywords: *Proposition, language codes, speech process, human, mind, nomination, denotation, speech activity, syntactic device, relational predicate, valence, syntactic members, traditional linguistics, semantic syntax, language and thinking.*

Any speech process is the process of expressing a person's thoughts about the objective world using language codes (signs). Therefore, speech is connected with the objective world through the mind. A certain part of the objective world is reflected in the human mind, and the generalized images of the members of this reflected being are expressed through language codes. It seems that language and thought are inextricably linked. In the human brain, consciousness and language are two relatively independent aspects. Each of them has its own memory in which knowledge is stored and the means to activate it.

These tools act by extracting from the memory of these two types of knowledge what is needed to describe a particular event [1,6].

Thus, these two aspects are so closely intertwined that the activity of the mind always monitors the activity of language and, according to its composition, forms a single and complex process of verbal thinking.

Consciousness is the body of knowledge about the world around us. One acquires such knowledge not only by observing the world directly, but also by obtaining information from others.

Therefore, human cognitive activity cannot take place without the help of the language system. The basic knowledge stored in the "memory" of language is the knowledge of the word and its meanings. The means of activating this knowledge are grammatical means.

Selecting the right words in the speech process and bringing them directly into the speech is based on the speaker's prior knowledge of the word. Any speech process requires two stages: the first stage (formation of thought), the second stage (the emergence of thought through material means for the purpose of communication). In the first stage of the process of verbal thinking, the activated elements of the mind are divided into separate frames, and each of them reflects a separate event or phenomenon.

The means of dividing the flow of thought into individual frames are relational predicates and their specific meanings.

Proposition is formed from the combination of relational predicates and their meanings [2,6].

The concept of proposition is widely used in modern linguistics to refer to an objective reality expressed by a particular syntactic device.

Although any sentence represents a particular proposition, it is not necessary that any proposition be expressed through a sentence. From this it follows that proposition refers to the content of a sentence, and that the concepts of proposition and speech are not the same.

The proposition differs from the sentence, first of all, in terms of its content. Because if a sentence is recognized as a whole consisting of a relationship of form and content, the propositive structure is considered to belong only to one side of its semantic structure. At the same time, proposition differs from speech in that it expresses the relation of a certain event to



objects that are logically equal to each other. For example: 1) *Aziz ukasiga qarzgapul berdi*; Aziz lent money to his brother; 2) *Ukasi Azizdan qarzga pul oldi*; His brother borrowed money from him (Aziz) 3) *Pul ukasi tomonidan Azizdan qarzga olindi*. The money was borrowed from Aziz by his brother.

All of the above represent a common event, a proposition, involving the same participants. The participants are Aziz and his brother, as well as money transactions between them. The reason why this story is expressed in different sentences is that in one place Aziz is taken as a base, in the second place his brother is taken, and in the third place money is taken. For example, the phrase *Anvar Ra'noga gul uzatdi* Anwar gave flowers to Rano (A. Qadiri) forms a syntactic paradigm by expressing a proposition with expressions such as *Ra'no Anvardan gul oldi*, *Anvar Ra'no uchun gul oldi* Rano received flowers from Anwar, Anwar received flowers for Rano. The means by which members of the paradigm are united is propositive. All three sentences have the same propositive structure: S-subject agens + subject patsiens + (predicate) P. From the above examples, it can be seen that the propositional structure differs from the formal structure. The relational predicate plays an important role in the expression of the proposition. Some linguists liken the relational predicate to a drilled board. Just as the holes drilled in the board are covered with wooden nails, the relational predicates also have certain spaces, empty cells. Filling in such gaps in relational predicates creates a proposition.

For example, the relational predicate of lending, which is the basis for the formation of the above sentences, has three spaces - an empty cell: a) the lender; b) the borrower and d) the value of the loan or the loan instrument (what the debt is).

When these empty cells are filled with specific lexical units, the three-digit predicate becomes a proposition. Thus, although relational predicates with a certain number of spaces are important for expressing a proposition, the predicate itself is not sufficient for the proposition. For a predicate to become a propositional expression, it must fill in its blanks with the appropriate lexical units. This means that the relational predicate reveals its valences, and as the composition expands, they all come together to express a certain proposition. The role of syntactic members in a sentence is not limited to which member is related to which member.

For example, *U kitob o'qidi* He read a book and the Book was read by Him *Kitob u tomonidan o'qildi* consists of the same words. These words are used interchangeably in both sentences to form the same "tree of subordination." However, the above statements differ in that the same words have different syntactic positions. In the first sentence, it is used in the possessive position, the object in which the action takes place is in the filler position, in the second sentence, the subject (s) who performs the action is in the filler position, and the object that receives the action is in the possessive position.

Thus, not only what words the members involved in the syntactic device are expressed from, but also what syntactic position (situation) these members come from is important for the semantic structure of the sentence. This is because two or more sentences may have the same words, but the fact that these words occur in different situations makes them different. Thus, in such cases, the main differential sign of a sentence is not the material aspect of the members involved in the sentence, but the sign of their position. It seems that the substantial (material) aspect of the members that make up a sentence is as important to the sentence as the position of those members.

This shows that the meaning of a sentence corresponds to the



objective being it represents, the parts of that being is not enough to name (fragments) correctly. You also need to be clear about the relationship between them.

It should be noted that the choice of certain words by the speaker to express this or that information is possible only after the selection of the syntactic structure necessary for the correct expression of a certain objective reality, a certain syntactic situation increases. That is why E. Kurilovich emphasizes that syntactic meaning is primary to lexical meaning [3,179]. In the process of speaking, a syntactic device is selected, and a word is selected to complete the device. Both of these processes are inextricably linked to the nomination process. That is why V.G. Gak said: "Word and syntactic device perform nominative and organizational functions at different levels in the process of sentence construction." [4,54]. This suggests that not only words but also patterns of speech can be studied in a nominative aspect. According to the approach to the structure of speech from the point of view of phrases, speech is considered as a combination of nominative units - words and phrases.

From the point of view of semantic syntax, the participants of the speech are studied as a set reality. Participants are referred to as "actants". Thus, the functions of the syntactic link between the semantic participants of the sentence - the actants - are not the same. Therefore, the study of the relationship between content participants and the syntactic situations they occupy has also become more and more popular among syntax experts in recent years. As a result, in semantic syntax, special attention was paid to the study of the relationship between form and content of speech. Syntax research in traditional linguistics has focused on the formal side of syntactic units, the interrelationship of formal units, the function of a syntactic unit in a dependent relationship, and how it is expressed. When considering the content of syntactic units, attention was paid to the relation of these syntactic units to the logical structure of thinking. As a result, the researcher, in addition to determining the main expressed content of the sentence, also tried to determine the general syntactic state (model) of this syntactic unit. As a result of the development of semantic syntax, the direction of the relationship between the syntactic structure of a sentence and its semantic structure, the definition of the essence of the semantic structure has changed. Accordingly, a sentence is a complete linguistic sign, and the main focus is on illuminating the relationship between the situation (referent) expressed by that sign. It is on this basis that the relationship between the structural model of the syntactic unit and its content is revealed. The situation expressed by any syntactic unit has the essence of universality. Because the reflection of objective reality in the human mind, finding its image, acquires the same identity, regardless of language or nationality. As a result of increasing attention to this universality of the situation expressed through syntactic units, he developed comparative and typological studies that study the expression of a particular syntactic situation with different syntactic structure in languages of different systems.

Thus, on the one hand, the semiotic interpretation of the sentence, i.e. its interpretation as a sign, on the other hand, the strengthening of semantic syntactic analysis, which focuses on the nominative side of the sentence, and finally, in addition to A. Gardiner's views on F. de Saussure, development led to a reconsideration of the direction of syntactic research.

As a result, the categories of formal logic and psychology used in linguistics have been replaced by the categories of semiotics and logical semantics.

The semantic triad [form (representing) - expressed (meaning) - object, situation] has become the main method of syntactic analysis. The term proposition has become more commonly used to describe the nominative aspect of a sentence. The term came into linguistics under the



influence of logic and philosophy. The term proposition, used in logic, philosophy, and their influence in linguistics, refers to the out-of-time relationship between verbs and nouns that are devoid of the modal parts of speech.

Modal meanings include negation, tense, and inclination. Thus, the relationship between the predicate and its arguments, which are devoid of affirmation, tense, and inclination, is the basis of proposition. This shows that even if there is a certain proposition at the base of any sentence, only the sign of the expression of the proposition is not enough for the syntactic unit to be formed as a sentence.

You just have to be more discriminating with the help you render toward other people. It is this mode that adds affirmation, tense, and inclination to the proposition, and the addition of a system of grammatical elements representing the mode to the system of lexical elements representing the proposition turns any syntactic device representing the proposition into a sentence. Thus, the semantic structure of any sentence can be expressed as follows: S MQP. Here is the symbol of the S-sentence, the symbol of the M-modality, the symbol of the P-proposition. Apparently, a particular proposition is expressed in terms of linguistic means of expressing certain modus meanings. For example: *Cho'ponota tog'ining etaklarida, naryog'i Zarafshon sohilida yuzlab o'tovlar va chodirlar paydo bo'lgan.* Hundreds of meadows and tents have grown at the foot of Mount Chophonota, on the banks of the Zarafshan River. If the symbol of the whole sentence above is represented by S, then the meaning of the participle in the resulting participle is in the form of person-number (personality), tense (temperament), indivisibility (modality), affirmation-negation (negativity). Accordingly, the differential difference between the syntactic units representing a particular proposition and the non-speech is the presence or absence of linguistic units representing the mode.

Reference:

1. Katsnelson S.D. Speech-thinking processes // V. 1984. № 4 p.6
2. Kurilovich E. Essays on linguistics. - M., 1962. -- p. 179.
3. Gak V.G. Problems of the lexical and grammatical organization of the sentence: Author's abstract. diss. ... Dr. Filol. sciences. - M., 1967. - p.54
4. M.Aminov, A, Madvaliyev, N, Mahkamov, N.Mahmudov. Clerical work - Tashkent: State Scientific Publishing House, 2017, p-78
5. A.E. Mamatov, 100 most important functions of language-Tashkent: Bayoz, 2014, p-5
6. A.Shomaksudov, I, Rasulov, R.Kungurov, H, Rustamov, Stylistics of the Uzbek language - Tashkent: Teacher, 1980, p. 155
7. A.Shomaksudov, I, Rasulov, R.Kungurov, H, Rustamov, Stylistics of the Uzbek language - Tashkent: Teacher, 1980, p.11
8. Z.T Kholmonova, Theory of Linguistics - Tashkent State Pedagogical University, 2010, p.15
9. Berdialiev A. Ergash Semantic-signifiable paradigmatics in compound sentence constructions. - T.: Fan, 1989. - 107 p.
10. Gegel. Encyclopedia of philosophical sciences. - M.: Mysl, 1974. - p. 290.



O'ZBEK XALQ MAQOLLARIDA NONNING ULUG'LANISHI

Erkinova Fotima Mamutxonovna,
Namangan davlat universiteti
"O'zbek adabiyotshunosligi" kafedrası
1-bosqich magistranti
Tel: +998999891386

Annotatsiya: Maqolada xalq og'zaki ijodining jamiyat hayotida tutgan o'rni, shuningdek, o'zbek xalq maqollarida ifodalangan non tasviri bilan bog'liq milliy urf-odatlar haqida fikr yuritilgan. Mavzuga doir maqollar tasniflangan va unda ilgari surilgan g'oyalar, badiiy-tasviriy vositalarning qo'llanishi tahlil qilingan.

Kalit so'z: inson, jamiyat, o'zbek folklori, maqollar, urf-odat, "Ne'matlar sulтони", beshik, dasturxon, "Odobnoma", novvoy, majoziy ma'no, axloqiy me'yor.

ПРОСЛАВЛЕНИЕ ХЛЕБА В УЗБЕКСКИХ НАРОДНЫХ ПОСЛОВИЦАХ

Эркинова Фотима Мамутхоновна
Наманганский Государственный Университет
Филологический факультет кафедра узбекской
Литературы магистрант 1 курса
Тел: +998999891386

Аннотация: Фолкклора в общественной жизни, а также национальные традиции, связанные с изображением хлеба, выраженные в узбекских народных пословицах. Статьи по теме классифицируются и анализируются выдвинутые в них идеи, использование художественных средств.

Ключевое слово: Человек, общество, узбекский фольклор, пословицы, предание, «Султан Благословения», колибель, скатерт, этикет, пекарня, переносное значение, моральная норма.

THE GLORIFICATION OF BREAD IN UZBEK FOLKLORE PROVERBS

Erkinova Fotima Mamutxonova
Namangan State University
Faculty of filology department of uzbek literature
1 st year master's student
Tel: +998999891386

Annotatsion: The article discusses the role of folklore in public life, as well as national customs associated with the image of bread, expressed in Uzbek folklore proverbs. Articles on the topic are classified and the ideas put forward in it, the use of artistic means are analyzed.

Key word: man, society, uzbek folklore, proverbs, customs, "Sultan of blessings", cradle, tablecloth, "Etiquette", bakery, figurative meaning, moral norm.

O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Shavkat Mirziyoyev bobolarimiz qoldirgan ilmiy – ma'naviy meros, ta'lim va tarbiya borasidagi bebaho hayotiy tajribalarni bugungi ta'lim tizimiga tatbiq etish bo'yicha aniq vazifalarni belgilab, "Buyuk ajdodlarimizning betakror va noyob ilmiy – ma'naviy merosi biz uchun doimiy harakatdagi hayotiy dasturga aylanishi kerak.



57	Okkazionalizmlarning badiiy-estetik vazifalari Abdurahmanova M.T., Mamajonova D.A.	274
58	Viktorian davrida gender aloqalari Muammosi (Sharlotta Brontening "Jeyn Eyr" asari misolida) Rashidova F.R.	278
59	O`zbek tili toponimiyasida antropotoponimik transonimizatsiya Sativoldiyeva D.S.	283
60	Tarjimada badiiy san`atlarni qayta yaratish masalalariga doir Sidiqov Q.A.	287
61	Yozma adabiyot va folklor munosabatlari. « Qutadg`u- bilig » asarda folklorning tutgan o`rni Mohira T.I.	292
62	Madaniy nutqda propositiv nominatsiya va denotat tuzulishi Usmonova X.Sh.	296
63	O`zbek xalq maqollarida nonning ulug`lanishi Erkinova F.M.	301
64	Абдулла Қодирийнинг Ўткан кунлар романида ишлатилган сўзларнинг морфологик таснифи ва тавсифи. Абдураззоқов. Э. F.	306
65	XIX асрнинг охири - XX аср бошлари ўзбек шеърлятида халқчиллик ва миллийлик тасвири Барзиев О.Х.	314
66	Zamonaviy ta`lim usullari nazariyasiga turli yondishalar Zakirov M.N.	321
67	Китобот санъатини ўргатишда фанлараро интеграциядан фойдаланиш Абдуллаев К.А.	325
68	Кўнгил ва назар поклиги талқини Рузибоев Т.Х.	332
69	Чустий лирикасида маънавий санъатлар Отаханова М.М.	336
70	Yozuvchi ijodining o`ziga xosligi Hamidova M.O.	343
71	Наср ва назм уйғунлиги Санобар А., Ғойиббоева Р.А.	347
72	Усмон Азим шеърлятида дунё ва инсон муносабатлари ифодаси Тажибаева Д.Э.	351
73	Improving students' activity through interactive games Otaxanova D.M.	356
74	Немис адиби М.Правдин ижодида Бобур Мирзо талқини. Турсунов А.	360
75	Синфдан ташқари тўғарақларда Муҳаммад Юсуф ижодидаги ўзбек фольклор мотивларини ўргатиш Сайдахмедова Н.С.	363
76	Залогии глаголов в сравниваемых языках (русский и узбекский язык) Сагтарова Ф.У., Бекпудатова О.М.	368